2 The present invention relates to human-to-computer 3 interfaces and particularly, but not exclusively, to 4 an interface system and data input apparatus, both 5 for facilitating a reduction in the number of 6 physical keying events required to create or delete 7 a given data string (i.e. mnemonics, abbreviations, 8 words, sentences, paragraphs etc.) and for providing 9 improved calculator functionality. 10 11 The industry standard keyboard layout that possesses 12 a virtually complete monopoly is the QWERTY 13 keyboard. The QWERTY keyboard is a throwback to the 14 days of mechanical typewriters and was designed to 15 maximise the separation of the most frequently used 16 key combinations in order to reduce jamming of the 17 typewriter mechanism. Consequently, the keys that 18 are most frequently used in combination are not 19 arranged with ease of accessibility in mind and 20 productivity is adversely affected. 21 22

Human-to-Computer Interfaces

2

PCT/GB2005/001111

Alternative keyboard models to the QWERTY layout are 1 available, e.g. the DVORAK and MALTRON® models. 2 These alternatives have sought to overcome the 3 problems associated with QWERTY by respectively re-4 positioning the most frequently used letters of the 5 English language in the "home row" and by curving 6 the keyboard to fit natural finger movements. 7 Whilst these alternative models have succeeded in 8 increasing typing speed and reducing muscle related 9 fatigue and stress, they have remained in the 10 minority due to the difficulties associated with 11 users relearning or adjusting to an unfamiliar 12 keyboard orientation. Consequently, keyboards have 13 continued to develop predominantly around the 14 familiar QWERTY layout. 15 16 No alternative keyboard targeted for either the main 17 (mass) market or specific (niche) markets actually 18 reduces the amount of typing, and to this day, still 19 require the same amount of typing as does a 20 conventional QWERTY keyboard. 21 22 The growing demand for rapid data entry into 23 computers and the increase in complex combinations 24 of keystrokes required by modern software 25 applications have been the driving factors behind 26 the development of ergonomic keyboards that maximise 27 user comfort. Several attempts have been made to 28 achieve this goal for both able and disabled users 29 through the appropriate positioning of keys, 30 manipulation of keyboard consoles (i.e. splitting 31 the console into left-hand and right-hand portions) 32

3

and the implementation of ergonomic contours for 1 2 comfortable hand and finger placement. 3 Such improvements to keyboard design have succeeded 4 5 to a limited extent in improving user comfort but to date have failed to couple this with significant 6 improvements to keystroke efficiency and 7 flexibility. Comfort is a palliative benefit. 8 only effective way to improve ergonomics and prevent 9 injury is to do less of any activity, e.g. to reduce 10 the amount of keyboard typing. 11 12 13 Computer keyboard drivers are essential in all operating system (OS) environments, their function 14 15 being to convert keystrokes to OS language tables, thus bridging or translating required notation 16 17 within all human-to-computer interfaces. important to note that the keyboard driver is a 18 19 critical element to keyboard function and operation. 20 Conventional keyboard drivers merely map key legends 21 to OS language tables with little or nothing in the 22 23 way of sophisticated extensions or add-ons to improve performance, versatility and adaptability of 24 the keyboard medium. 25 26 According to a first aspect of the present invention 27 there is provided an interface system for a personal 28 computer comprising an array of data input keys 29 having multi-character indicia, said interface 30 31 system further comprising: data storage means; data processing means; and data display means, wherein 32

1	the data processing means is adapted to facilitate a
2	reduction in the number of key presses required to
3	create a given data string to less than the number
4	of characters within said data string by:
5	(i) filtering data stored within the data
6	storage means by initial character, as
7	determined by the character or characters
8	ascribed to a data input key initially
9	pressed by a user;
LO	(ii) prioritising said filtered data in real-
11	time according to user-configurable
12	prioritisation parameters; and
13	(iii) displaying one or more prioritised data
L 4	strings on the data display means for
15	subsequent selection by the user.
L6	
17	Preferably, successive key presses act to filter
18	further the number of data strings displayed on the
19	data display means for subsequent selection by the
20	user.
21	
22	Preferably, the data input keys within the array
23	have multi-character indicia which are selected to
24	accord with a statistical extrapolation of the most
25	used alphanumerical character combinations in a
26	given language, to thus facilitate a further
27	reduction in the number of key presses required to
28	create a given data string.
29	
30	Preferably, the data input keys having multi-
31	character indicia are composite keys having at least

1	primary a	and secondary indicia corresponding to
2	primary a	and secondary key-values or key-functions.
3		
4	Preferabl	y, the data storage means is defined by one
5	or more	lata dictionaries in which qualitative
6	and/or qu	antitative information is stored in
7	relation	to each data string.
8 .		
9	Preferabl	y, a configuration means is provided to
10	allow a u	aser to selectively enable or disable
11	physical	interactivity reduction characteristics of
12	the inter	face system which facilitate a further
13	reduction	n in the number of key presses required to
14	create a	given data string.
15		
16	Preferabl	y, the physical interactivity reduction
17	character	ristics are selectable from the group
18	comprisir	ng:
19	(i)	entering a space after selection of a data
20		string;
21	(ii)	limitation of displayed data strings to
22		those having a total number of characters
23		greater than the number of key presses
24		required to display said data string on
25		the data display means;
26	(iii)	expanding typed or selected mnemonics,
27		abbreviations or acronyms into their
28		corresponding full data strings;
29	(iv)	performing two-way translations between
30		data strings and user-configurable
31		dictionary definitions or descriptions.

6

PCT/GB2005/001111

1	(v)	enabling the selection of a secondary key-
2		value or key-function by means of double-
3		pressing a data input key;
4	(vi)	enabling the selection of a different data
5		string stored within the data storage
6		means upon each of a multiple number of
7		presses of a data input key up to n times,
8		said data string having an initial letter
9		or letters corresponding to the key-value
10		of that key; and
11	(vii)	enabling the right-to-left and/or left-to-
12		right deletion of n characters, words,
13		sentences or paragraphs by means of a
14		single key press.
15		
16	Preferabl	y, the secondary key-value or key-function
17	obtained	by double pressing a data input key is
18	identical	with the SHIFT value of that key.
19		
20	Preferabl	y, each double-press must be completed
21	within a	predetermined period of time in order to
22	select th	e secondary key-value or key-function.
23		
24	Preferabl	y, the secondary key-value corresponds to
25	the secon	dary indicia of a composite key having
26	multi-cha	racter indicia.
27		
28	Alternati	vely, the secondary key-value corresponds
29	to a capi	talised conventional key-value.
30		
31	Alternati	vely, there is provided at least one
32	function	key operable in combination with a

7

composite key and adapted to access the secondary 1 key-value or key-function. 2 3 Preferably, once successive multiple presses of a 4 5 data input key cycle through all data strings 6 retrieved from the data storage means, a further 7 press loops back to the first data string. 8 Preferably, the ability to select a different data 9 10 string stored within the data storage means by means of multiple presses of a data input key is disabled 11 upon pressing of the SPACE key, or another non-12 13 character key. 14 Optionally, the length of the data string selectable 15 by each successive multiple press is at least n+1 16 characters in length. 17 18 Preferably, the data strings selectable by each 19 successive multiple press are actively prioritised 20 21 within the data storage means according to frequency 22 of inputting or selection. 23 Preferably, the multiple-press functionality 24 25 overrides the double-press functionality if both are enabled simultaneously by a user. 26 27 Preferably, the configuration means also allows a 28 29 user to selectively adjust the prioritisation 30 parameters according to the desired qualitative and/or quantitative characteristics of the data 31 stored within the, or each, data dictionary. 32

8

1 2 Preferably, the qualitative and/or quantitative 3 information comprises statistical and/or probability 4 information relating to each data string stored within the data storage means. 5 6 7 Preferably, all qualitative and quantitative information is dynamically updated in real-time. 8 9 Optionally, the data processing means maintains 10 11 lookup chains between two or more data dictionaries 12 such that a given data string in a first data 13 dictionary is mapped to a data string or strings in 14 one or more other data dictionaries for selection by 15 the user. 16 17 Preferably, where a given data string in a first 18 data dictionary is mapped to a plurality of data strings in one or more other data dictionaries, said 19 data strings are prioritised via the configuration 20 means for ease of selection by the user. 21 22 23 Preferably, the mapping is performed dynamically. 24 Optionally, the data processing means maintains 25 26 associative links between any given data string and 27 up to n other data strings to thus display or project the most relevant longer data string 28 29 comprised of n+1 data strings for selection by the 30 user.

9

1 Optionally, a plurality of the most relevant longer 2 data strings are made available or displayed in a 3 prioritised list for selection by the user. 4 5 Optionally, selection of a longer data string 6 induces a repetition of associative linking such 7 that a further one or more relevant longer data 8 strings are displayed for selection by the user. 9 10 Preferably, the relevance/prioritisation of the, or 11 each, longer data string is determined according to 12 statistical and/or probability information stored within the, or each, data dictionary. 13 14 Preferably, statistical information relates to the 15 16 historical inputting and/or selection of data 17 strings. 18 Preferably, the historical inputting and/or 19 20 selection information can be one or more of the 21 following: (i) frequency of inputting; (ii) frequency of selection (iii) character length; (iv) 22 lexical pattern density; and (v) chronological 23 24 weighting. 25 26 Preferably, probability information can be one or 27 more of the following: (i) occurrence and/or 28 association ratios of two or more data strings 29 within a longer data string; (ii) context ratios 30 determining the likelihood of a given data string 31 being grouped with one or more other data strings to 32 determine the context of a longer data string.

1	
2	Optionally, the data processing means can
3	selectively bypass or reset the dynamically updated
4	qualitative and quantitative information.
5	
6	Preferably, the one or more data strings displayed
7	on the data display means for subsequent selection
8	by the user are displayed in list format in
9	descending order of priority.
10	
11	Preferably, synchronisation of data dictionaries
12	between two or more personal computers can be
13	accomplished by means of wired or wireless
14	connectivity.
15	
16	Alternatively or additionally, synchronisation of
17	data dictionaries between two or more personal
18	computers can be accomplished by means of
19	downloading from a common database.
20	
21	Preferably, the, or each, data dictionary is
22	manually populated.
23	
24	Alternatively, the population of each data
25	dictionary with data and its corresponding
26	qualitative and/or quantitative information may be
27	accelerated by uploading onto the data storage means
28	data strings resident on a personal computer or a
29	remotely connected device.
30	

11

Alternatively, the dictionaries are populated by 1 optically scanning external data strings by means of 2 scanning apparatus. 3 4 According to a second aspect of the present 5 invention there is provided data input apparatus for 6 a personal computer comprising an array of data 7 input keys having multi-character indicia, said 8 apparatus adapted to facilitate a reduction in the 9 number of key presses required to create or delete a 10 given data string to less than the number of 11 characters within said data string. 12 13 14 Preferably, the multi-character indicia comprise a 15 combination of alphabetic characters. 16 17 Preferably, the multi-character indicia include 18 digraphs. 19 Alternatively or additionally, the multi-character 20 indicia include tri-graphs. 21 22 Alternatively or additionally, the multi-character 23 indicia include tetra-graphs. 24 25 Preferably, the keys within the array are arranged 26 such that the most frequently used multi-character 27 combinations in a given language are positioned 28 closest to the home keys. 29 30

WO 2005/093555 PCT/O

PCT/GB2005/001111

12

Preferably, the keys having multi-character indicia 1 2 are composite keys having at least primary and 3 secondary indicia. 4 Preferably, the keys having multi-character indicia 5 6 are provided substantially centrally on a QWERTY 7 keyboard having home keys F and J, respectively. 8 Alternatively, the keys having multi-character 9 indicia are provided on a DVORAK or MALTRON® 10 keyboard. 11 12 Optionally, the array of keys are represented on a 13 14 graphical touch screen. 15 16 Preferably, the multi-character indicia on the graphical touch screen are dynamically updated in 17 real time such that the multi-character combinations 18 keyed most frequently by a user are positioned 19 closest to the home keys. 20 21 According to a third aspect of the present invention 22 there is provided data input apparatus for a 23 personal computer having calculator functionality, 24 said apparatus comprising an array of conventional 25 numerical and calculator operator keys, a plurality 26 of calculator control-keys and display means located 27 on the input apparatus, wherein said control-keys 28 are operable in combination with said calculator 29 operator keys and/or said numerical keys to: (i) 30 selectively send calculator-related key values to a 31 32 computer; and (ii) selectively perform mathematical

13

calculations and display the results of said 1 2 calculations on the display means and/or send said 3 results to a computer. 4 Preferably, the calculator operator key values are 5 6 selectable from the group comprising ., +, -, /, *, %, $\sqrt{\ }$, +/-, C/AC, MKUP, SEND and ENTER. 7 8 Preferably, the calculator control-keys can toggle 9 between activated and deactivated states. 10 11 Preferably, the calculator control-keys comprise: 12 (i) a first control key for selectively displaying 13 the results of calculations performed using the 14 array of numerical and calculator operator keys on 15 the display means; and (ii) a second control key for 16 selectively sending the results of calculations 17 performed using the array of numerical and 18 calculator operator keys to a computer. 19 20 Preferably, the second control key is the SEND key 21 which, when pressed, acts to send the value 22 displayed on the display means to the computer. 23 24 Preferably, by pressing the ENTER key, the 25 calculator performs the most recent calculation and 26 updates the display means accordingly without 27 sending same to the computer. 28 29 Preferably, when both the first and second control 30 keys are in deactivated states the conventional 31 numerical and/or calculator operator key values 32

14

1 themselves are sent to a computer without performing 2 mathematical calculations. 3 Preferably, the apparatus is provided with a 4 retention buffer, which holds a calculation history 5 of n most recent numeric entries, operators and 6 equated values. 7 8 Preferably, the retention buffer allows a user to 9 regress, recur and/or rectify calculations from any 10 previous point within the buffer history. 11 12 According to a fourth aspect of the present 13 invention there is provided data input apparatus for 14 a personal computer comprising an array of data 15 input keys, said apparatus adapted to facilitate a 16 reduction in the number of key presses required to 17 create a given data string to less than the number 18 of characters within said data string; and wherein 19 20 the apparatus comprises one or more function-lock 21 keys that are selectable by a user to lock the functionality of the data input keys in one of two 22 modes to maintain said selected mode until a 23 subsequent de-selection of said function-lock key by 24 25 the user. 26 27 Preferably, the function lock keys are chosen from 28 the group comprising: ALT Lock, CTRL Lock, SEQ Lock 29 and DUAL Lock.

15

1 Preferably, the SEQ Lock key allows the selection of 2 secondary key-values by means of sequential as 3 opposed to simultaneous key presses. 4 According to a fifth aspect of the present invention 5 there is provided an interface system for a personal 6 7 computer comprising data input apparatus according 8 to the second aspect. 9 10 Embodiments of the present invention will now be 11 described, by way of example only, with reference to 12 the following drawings in which: 13 14 Fig. 1 is a perspective view of a conventional 15 computer keyboard; 16 17 Figs. 2a and 2b are plan views of an example keyboard according to the second and third aspects 18 19 of the present invention; 20 21 Fig. 2c shows the substantially centrally located 22 keys having multi-character indicia of Figs. 2a and 23 2b in isolation; 24 25 Fig. 2d is a table listing the physical features of 26 the keyboards of Figs. 2a and 2b; 27 28 Fig. 3 is a list of Internet regulated top-level 29 domain (TLD) country codes;

16

1 Fig. 4 shows three examples of internet URL 2 addresses typed using the composite keys shown in 3 Fig. 2c; 4 Figs. 5a-i are graphs showing statistical 5 6 information relating to the most frequently 7 occurring words and lexical fragments in the English 8 language; 9 Figs. 6a-e are tables depicting first and second 10 11 composite key configurations respectively of the 12 keyboards shown in Figs. 2a and 2b; 13 14 Fig. 7 is a plan view of a calculator portion of the 15 keyboards shown in Figs. 2a and 2b according to the 16 third aspect of the present invention; 17 18 Fig. 8 is a table showing examples of statistical extrapolations of the most commonly occurring 19 20 language components for the English, French, German, 21 Italian and Spanish languages; 22 23 Fig. 9 is a table showing examples of the manual 24 operations and overrides for multi-press mode, translation mode and forward and backward 25 26 translations where the latter two translator modes 27 are implemented with given or highlighted text; 28 29 Fig. 10 shows two tables illustrating the mapping of 30 key press events in a FIFO buffer; 31

17

1 Fig. 11 is a table illustrating the key-value 2 mappings between various keyboard layouts; 3 4 Figs. 12a-d are plan views of alternative 5 embodiments of the invention having alternative key 6 array arrangements; 7 8 Fig. 13 shows an example table of associatively linked and prioritised data strings; and 9 10 11 Fig. 14 illustrates the chaining of data 12 dictionaries and associative linking. 13 14 The present invention is directed to an efficient 15 (productivity), facile (accessibility) and safe 16 (ergonomic) keyboard for single and dual hand, full and limited dexterity, and right or left hand 17 18 orientation users as a Multi-Dexterous Productivity 19 keyboard system, which among its aims includes: (i) 20 the effective reduction of key-stroking/typing, thereby (ii) increasing efficiency (productivity), 21 22 (iii) increasing ease of use (accessibility), (iv) 23 increasing safety (ergonomics), and (v) reducing 24 ailments associated with keyboard use. 25 Fig. 1 shows a conventional keyboard according to 26 27 the QWERTY layout standard. The keys are arranged 28 in straight rows with a user's hands shown to 29 illustrate the natural position of the fingers in a 30 relaxed typing position. The tips of the fingers form a natural arc with respect to the keyboard by 31 32 virtue of the differing lengths of the fingers and

18

1 thumb of each respective hand. To conform to straight rows of keys of the key board, fingers are 2 3 forced to be held in an unnatural position while poised over the row of conventionally designated 4 5 "home keys". This unnatural position causes 6 significant hand discomfort from repetitive key 7 strikes and makes touch-typing more difficult due to the tendency of the fingers to stray or extend from 8 9 the home row of keys. Thus, the conventional straight line of home keys is a source of ulnar 10 deviation and pronation both of which are causes of 11 Repetitive Strain Injuries (RSI) for regular 12 13 keyboard users. 14 15 A basic keyboard of a personal computer, whether 16 physical or graphically represented, can include 17 further keys that permit a direct reduction in a user's physical interactivity with the device using 18 19 the fundamentals of etymology. These additional keys provide a means to input diverse patterns based 20 on language or graphics and represent particular 21 22 lexical fragments or basic components of such 23 languages or graphic systems. 24 Core lexical components or data string fragments 25 26 combine to create larger data strings. The phrase 27 "data string" and "character string" are interchangeable throughout the specification unless 28 the context requires otherwise. 29 Similarly, 30 depending upon the context, the term "sub-data 31 string" or "truncated data string" may refer to 32 letters or lexical fragments within a word, or a

19

1 word within a phrase or sentence, mnemonics, 2 abbreviations, acronyms etc. 3 4 For any given language, its core lexical components 5 (letters, numbers and symbols) and its most 6 occurring character string fragments can be used to 7 create larger complete character strings that become 8 contextual by representing meaningful words, 9 phrases, sentences, paragraphs and fuller texts. 10 Such patterns can include the most frequently 11 occurring digraphs (two-letter combinations forming 12 a single lexical unit, e.g. TH, ER, EN, AN etc.), 13 tri-graphs (three-letter combinations forming a 14 single lexical unit, e.g. ENT, LLY, TCH, ATE etc.), 15 tetra-graphs (four or more letter combinations forming a single lexical unit, e.g. TIVE, ALLY, 16 17 MENT, ENCE etc.) and sym-graphs (emoticons, e.g. :-) for smiley etc.). The same principles apply to 18 graphic systems by using common and simpler abstract 19 20 patterns to generate larger, more complex graphic 21 Those fundamental components occurring 22 with the most frequency in any given language are 23 most useful as key legends or indicia. 24 25 The lower the length or size of these core lexical components, the greater their simplicity and the 26 27 more amplified their cognitive coherence. Cognitive coherence measures a character string's diversity, 28 29 versatility and breadth of contextualisation in 30 terms of reusability and/or its ability to build 31 larger character strings easily and repeatedly. 32 Letters, numbers and symbols have the highest

20

cognitive coherence since they represent the basic 1 2 lexical/numerical components and building blocks for any given language. Words, phrases, sentences and 3 fuller texts have lower cognitive coherences the 4 5 higher one goes up this chain. Digraphs have a particularly high cognitive coherence since they are 6 7 practically at the bottom of the chain, having a 8 similar cognitive coherence to that of letters. Digraphs can be loosely coupled with other letters. 9 and patterns to create larger more meaningful 10 character strings, semantics and contexts. 11 12 The use of digraphs, tri-graphs and tetra-graphs 13 provide easy acclimatisation toward their use 14 15 because of their high cognitive coherences; i.e. 16 they are easily recognisable and easy to place 17 within larger patterns during the construction of meaningful words, phrases, sentences and fuller 18 texts within any context or semantics. Digraphs, 19 20 tri-graphs and tetra-graphs also reduce the amount of physical interactivity by facilitating a 21 reduction in the number of key presses required to 22 create a given character string. This may be 23 24 achieved by eliminating key-presses by means of providing data input keys (either physical or 25 graphically represented) having multi-character 26 27 indicia which correspond with a statistical 28 extrapolation of the most used alphanumerical character combinations (i.e. letters, numbers and 29 symbols) in a given language by the user. 30 31

WO 2005/093555 PC

21

PCT/GB2005/001111

1 Modified QWERTY keyboards according to the present 2 invention are shown in Figs. 2a and 2b. 3 4 Advantages of the keyboards of the present invention 5 include ease of use, reduced user-interactivity, 6 elevated efficiency and thus enhanced productivity that in turn yields improved accuracy and 7 8 flexibility. Reduced interactivity is a stress/strain antidote that reduces the risk and 9 10 occurrence of Repetitive Strain Injuries (RSI). 11 Furthermore, reduced interactivity has the further 12 benefit of lessening wear and tear of the personal 13 computer itself. Comfort is a palliative benefit. 14 The only effective way to improve ergonomics and 15 prevent injury is to do less of any activity, e.g. 16 reducing the number of key presses involved in 17 typing. 18 19 The keyboards and interface system of the present 20 invention improve the overall user experience and 21 interactivity with a personal computer. 22 apparatus can be used independently of the interface 23 system that forms a first aspect of the present 24 invention (described in detail below), or for 25 maximum benefit, both the keyboards having keys with 26 multi-character indicia and the interface system may be used in combination. 27 28 The keyboards of the present invention are arranged 29 with a particular symmetry that enables them to be 30 easily split into three segments (as shown in Fig. 31 32 2b) to provide greater flexibility in approach and

22

PCT/GB2005/001111

comfort, thus further enhancing ergonomics. 1 the first split would tend to be between the central 2 two columns of the keys having multi-character 3 indicia (described in detail below) and the other 4 split would tend to be between the main keyboard 5 section and the numeric/calculator section. 6 applies to all configurations of the keyboard 7 according to the present invention. 8 9 The keyboard comprises an array of keys having 10 multi-character indicia, shown in isolation in Fig. 11 2c, arranged substantially centrally between the 12 home keys F and J. The keys within the array are 13 composite keys having at least primary and secondary 14 indicia arranged such that the most frequently used 15 multi-character combinations in a given language are 16 positioned closest to the home keys. 17 18 It will be appreciated that the keys having multi-19 character indicia could equally be provided on a 20 DVORAK or MALTRON® keyboard or on an array of keys 21 represented on a dynamically updated graphical touch 22 screen which repositions the multi-character 23 combinations keyed most frequently by a user such 24 that they are positioned closest to the home keys. 25 26 Each key within the array of keys having multi-27 character indicia (hereinafter referred to as MCI 28 keys) has primary and secondary functional indicia 29 disposed on its top surface wherein at least the 30 primary functional indicia is statistically 31

32

23

PCT/GB2005/001111

extrapolated (discussed below in more detail with 1 2 reference to Figs. 5a-i). 3 The primary form of functional indicia on each 4 5 composite productivity key shown in Figs. 2a and 2b 6 are in the form of digraphs. However, it will be 7 appreciated that other forms of primary functional indicia are possible and may be in the form of at 8 least one of: characters (single letters, tri-9 graphs, tetra-graphs), words, word groups and/or 10 special commands all of which serve to alleviate the 11 recognised problem of repetitive key strikes and/or 12 alleviate excessive redundancy, repetitive typing 13 14 and/or optimise typing productivity based on the 15 most commonly used characters, words, word groups 16 and special functional commands of any given language including (for example, English by default, 17 French, German, Italian Spanish and other EU and 18 19 international languages). 20 21 Each digraph is selected using the results of a 22 statistical data study of the most commonly used 23 words in the English language. The statistical data 24 study has shown that the following digraphs (i.e. 25 coupled letters) occur most commonly in the English language: OF, OR, IN, EN, ES, RE, TH, AT, ED, ER, ON 26 In view of the fact that the Q key is 27 and AN. rarely used singularly (according to the statistical 28 29 studies discussed below) but is often paired with the letter U, a QU digraph key is provided. 30 However, since this digraph is less common than the 31

others are, it is not included in the central

24

productivity key array and retains the position of 1 2 the conventional Q key. 3 In an alternative embodiment (not shown), each set 4 of characters, words or word groups are taken from a 5 statistical data study of the most commonly used 6 tri-graphs (i.e. three-character combinations) such 7 as QUE, QUA, QUI, THE, ETH, ITH, ION, ONE, TEN, ENT, 8 END, ENV, FOR, TOR, TER, FER, GER, BER, INT, INY, 9 REY, REG, GED, EDY, AND, ANY, ANI, etc. 10 Experimentation has shown that the use of 11 productivity keys using digraphs and tri-graphs can * 12 reduce multiple keystrokes by up to approximately 13 30%. 14 15 Furthermore, the composite productivity keys shown 16 in Figs. 2a and 2b have secondary indicia of the 17 most used special software application based 18 commands, acronyms and/or mnemonics, by default 19 Internet Top Level Domains (TLD) (i.e. ".tv", 20 ".info", ".org", ".edu", ".gov", ".mil", "www.", 21 ".co", ".ac", ".ccode", ".net" and ".com"). 22 23 These TLD's are all well known with the exception of 24 the ".ccode" TLD. This secondary key value is user 25 definable during the keyboard driver installation or 26 run-time configuration to correspond with the most 27 commonly used top-level domain (TLD) value. For 28 example, if the keyboard is to be used in the United 29 Kingdom, a user would select the United Kingdom from 30 a list (as shown in Fig. 3) during installation or 31

25

PCT/GB2005/001111

1 run-time configuration of the keyboard driver thus 2 assigning the value ".uk" to the .ccode key. 3 4 The MCI keys are configured to have default 5 linguistic settings that are function key controlled. The primary and secondary productivity 6 7 key-values are programmable during installation or run-time configuration tools. Whilst in normal 8 mode, the default key-values of the productivity 9 keys shown in Fig. 2c will be the digraph values. 10 For example, pressing "EN" alone will give "en". 11 12 Pressing the "SHIFT" function key in combination 13 with key "EN" will produce "EN" in upper case. 14 Caps lock mode the values summoned would be "EN" and "en" respectively. Further composite keys include 15 DUAL, which accesses secondary key values, and DUAL 16 17 SHIFT which accesses and shifts on secondary key values. In normal mode the "DUAL" key used in 18 combination with key "EN" summons ".edu" and "DUAL 19 SHIFT" summons ".EDU". Further examples 20 incorporating usage of the .ccode key are shown in 21 22 Fig. 4. 23 24 In the particular example shown in Figs. 2a, the 25 productivity keys are arranged in substantially the central area of the keyboard in an array comprising 26 two columns, which intersect with two rows in a 27 substantially mutually perpendicular arrangement, 28 each row and column consisting of four productivity 29 30 keys. The two rows lie adjacent to one another such 31 that the first and fourth keys of the first and 32 second rows intersect with the second and third keys

26

of each column respectively to form an H-shaped 1 array. In other words the first and fourth keys of 2 the first and second rows are shared with the second 3 and third keys of each column. 4 5 The H-shaped array means that a single or dual 6 handed user has immediate access to the most 7 commonly used characters and commands at his/her 8 fingertips without unnecessary flexing or extensions 9 beyond conventionally designated home keys. 10 most used or most frequently occurring MCI keys 11 (derived from any given language statistical 12 extrapolations or of general information) are 13 positioned in order closest to the keyboard home 14 keys F and J. In addition, a user is not presented 15 with the drastic psychological factors of having to 16 relearn how to use an unfamiliar style of keyboard 17 since the familiarity of the QWERTY model is 18 retained and merely modified to improve efficiency 19 and to reduce repetitive key strikes and the like to 20 minimise Repetitive Strain Injuries (RSI). 21 22 Additional function keys which are operable in 23 combination with the productivity keys (i.e. the 24 BSPC (Backspace), DEL (Delete), DUAL and DUAL SHIFT 25 keys are added to the array as shown more clearly in 26 Fig. 2c to form an overall array comprising 18 keys 27 (i.e. 4 function keys and 14 productivity keys -28 excluding the generic QU key but including the 29 <space>T and E<space> keys described below). 30

31

32

WO 2005/093555 PCT/GB2005/001111

27

1 The BSPC and DEL keys are well understood and require no further explanation and the DUAL and DUAL 2 3 SHIFT keys have been described above. However, the 4 "SPC T" and "E SPC" (i.e. <space>T and E<space>) 5 keys are new keys, which contribute to increased 6 typing efficiency. A statistical analysis of the 7 English language has shown that the most common characters that start and end a word in the English 8 language are the letters "T" and "E" respectively 9 (see Figs. 5b and 5c, respectively). 10 Space (SPC) delimits and/or indicates the start or end of a new 11 word or a previous word respectively. Accordingly, 12 13 these keys serve to provide a reduction in 14 keystrokes in a similar manner to digraphs and so 15 are notionally included within the group of MCI 16 These keys have secondary key values .biz and keys. 17 .pro respectively that are accessible using the DUAL 18 keys as described above. 19 20 Further functional keys (not shown in the example of 21 Figs. 2a-c) may also be added to the array. example, "iBusiness" and "iPersonal" keys are 22 23 programmable keys via the keyboard driver (during 24 pre and post driver installation). In an 25 alternative example (not shown) these keys replace 26 the BSPC and DEL keys located at the top of the array shown in Figs. 2-c. The value of the 27 iBusiness key is defaulted to the user's business 28 29 web site, e.g. www.keypoint-tech.com. The value of the iPersonal key is user-definable and is intended 30

to default to a user's internet home URL setting.

During installation or run-time configuration of the

28

keyboard driver these defaults can be amended by the 1 2 user. URL validation will be made to verify the 3 correctness of the URL format and page access (i.e. the URL is ping-ed). Changing the iPersonal key 4 value will not affect the user's pre-existing 5 internet home URL setting as these are maintained 6 7 independently of one another. In operation, the keyboard driver will therefore either feed the 8 selected URL value into the internet browser address 9 field (or into any cursor area during cursor/text 10 input mode), or auto-start-up a browser with the 11 selected URL when not in cursor/text input mode. 12 13 14 Yet another pair of functional keys (again not shown 15 in the example of Figs. 2a-c) can be added to the 16 array. These are the "MULTI DEL" and "MULTI BSPC" keys respectively. Again, these keys contribute 17 towards a reduction in keystrokes by deleting n 18 characters, words, sentences or paragraphs at a time 19 either from left-to-right with MULTI DEL or right-20 to-left with MULTI BSPC. The user can associate n 21 to characters, words, sentences or paragraphs during 22 keyboard driver installation or run-time 23 configuration. 24 25 Optionally, it is envisaged that the MCI keys of the 26 first embodiment could be provided with graphically 27 programmable liquid crystal display (LCD) key-tops 28 (or a touch screen) which are dynamically 29 programmable in real time. The keyboard driver 30 31 would be adapted to have a two-way channel that 32 dynamically programs the indicia of the keys, or

29

PCT/GB2005/001111

1 touch screen representations thereof, in real time 2 according to the current software application in 3 use. Alternatively, the user can configure the keys 4 by selecting which indicia should be attributed to 5 each LCD key-top. 6 7 It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art 8 that the functionality and layout of the MCI keys 9 will minimise Repetitive Stress Injuries (RSI) such 10 as Carpel Tunnel Syndrome (CTS) and other Cumulative Trauma Disorders (CTD) such as Musculoskeletal 11 12 Disorders (MSD), Occupational Overuse Syndrome 13 (OOS), Repetitive Motion Injury (RMI), Upper Limb Disorder (ULD), etc. in dual or single handed 14 keyboard users, full or limited dexterity keyboard 15 users and left or right hand oriented keyboard 16 The primary difference being that a user now 17 has an optimally arranged set of keys formed with 18 19 statistically extrapolated indicia or characters and 20 special commands that significantly reduces 21 unnecessary finger extensions and related fatigues beyond a user's hand span. Additionally, workload 22 23 is reduced thereby reducing or pre-empting stress 24 and/or strain. 25 Since each language whether English, French, German, 26 etc. has distinct linguistic characteristics 27 28 inherent to its etymology and principal area of technological or otherwise application of origin, it 29 30 would be obvious to one skilled in that language to construct special primary commands to provide the 31 necessary functions and language based commands. 32

30

1 In this regard, numerous key orientations are possible, excluding those orientations that minimise 2 3 typing speed, and detract from preventing repetitive 4 key strikes that can lead to Repetitive Strain Injuries (RSI), and potentially decrease efficiency 5 (productivity). The tables in Fig. 8 show examples 6 of statistical extrapolations of the most commonly 7 occurring language components for the English, 8 French, German, Italian and Spanish languages. 9 10 Other defining benefits for other users can be 11 12 derived by rearranging the inherent factors: keypresses, effort, dexterity, and time that measure 13 efficiency, effectivity and accuracy. This simple 14 exercise provides the foundations to reap 'whatever 15 16 advantage for who ever'. For example, (i) Time: Military / Critical-path systems; (ii) Productivity: 17 18 Commercial /Customer services; (iii) Accessibility: Governments / People with disabilities; (iv) 19 Accuracy: Health & Legal / Emergency services; (v) 20 Ergonomics: Trade Unions / Workers injuries; (vi) 21 22 Growth: Education / Future Markets; and (vii) 23 Change: R&D /Product Diversification etc. 24 25 A closer look at statistically extrapolated character, word and/or command data or indicia is 26 shown in Figs. 5a-i. Through the analysis and 27 weighting of the most common usage in English, 28 lexical fragments such as combinations or subsets of 29 letters, digraphs, tri-graphs and small words can be 30 extracted. The exclusive union of these categories 31 (frequency, union), filter out duplications of 1 to 32

31

3 letters from each respective subset resulting in a 1 compacted optimal mix of combinations that can be 2 used in keyboard design applications to recreate 3 fuller words thereby minimising repetitive 4 keystrokes and associated injuries. For instance in 5 Figs. 5a-i, it is shown that the most popular 6 combination of digraph is TH, for tri-graph it is 7 THE and the most used letter is E. The succinct 8 union of these combinations, in turn are process 9 similarly with other unions and the final remaining 10 contents (superset) listed as the most likely 11 candidates that can be use to reduce key strikes or 12 strokes, repetition and key reaches. 13 14 As depicted in Fig. 5a, a table generated from a 15 variety of studies shows the most common weight of 16 letters and there frequency of use. As shown 17 therein the letter E had the highest frequency as 18 the most used letter in the distribution of data. As 19 depicted in Fig. 5b, the table shows the letter T as 20 having the highest percentage frequency of most used 21 letters that start a word. As depicted in Fig. 5c, 22 the table shows that the letter E as having the 23 highest percentage frequency of the most used 24 letters that end a word in English. In order to 25 generate the most effective union of the selective 26 data, a criteria is imposed to systematically 27 eliminate the less frequent letters and leave only 28 the most popular ones. These in turn, are used in 29 the final selection and optimisation of a superset. 30 This can be viewed more clearly in the combinations 31 obtained from digraphs and tetra-graphs depicted in 32

32

1 Figs. 5d and 5e. As shown in the table of Fig. 5e, 2 the three letter word THE is shown as having the 3 highest percentage frequency with AND as next likely candidate for selective combinations. As indicated 4 5 by the table selecting the most prominent and 6 primary tri-graphs with frequency values greater 7 than 6.10, along with a secondary set with frequency 8 values between 5.00 and 6.10 optimum tri-graph sets can be obtain (e.g. primary set: AND, ENT, FOR, ION, 9 THE, TIO; and secondary set: EDT, HAS). 10 11 As depicted in Fig. 5f, the table shows the 12 percentage frequency of the most used words of the 13 14 English language as the sample set wherein words such as AND, IN, OF, THAT, THE, and TO were 15 optimally obtained imposing the criteria of 16 17 frequency values of greater than 0.9 to obtained the discrete word set. The percentage frequency of 18 words that are two or more letters in length 19 strongly indicates that the bulk of keyboard 20 21 operations require repetition and multi-strokes. As depicted in Fig. 5g, the recorded data shows a 22 23 distribution curve that indicates that the main 24 weight in frequency is consumed by words of two to 25 five letters in length, which represents 74.17% of a possible workload. The introduction of the 26 optimised frequency union from letters, digraphs, 27 tri-graphs and small words significantly reduces 28 29 this workload by simply eliminating unnecessary typing. Accordingly, this inherently reduces the 30 risks associated with the duration of keyboard 31 32 operations and subsequently diminishing Repetitive

33

PCT/GB2005/001111

1 Strain Injuries (RSI) and elevating productivity. 2 3 As depicted in Figs. 5h and 5i, keystroke reduction is shown for the most common tri-graphs and most 4 common words, respectively. The select combination 5 6 from the frequency union also includes OF, OR, IN, 7 EN, ES, RE, TH, AT, ED, ER, ON and AN. For example, 8 the use of the tri-graph AND indicates a keystroke reduction of 14.55 keystrokes out of a sample of 100 9 Using the most common word groups the same 10 word AND indicates a greater keystroke reduction of 11 28.30 keystrokes out of a sample of 100 words. 12 13 Figs. 6a and 6b illustrate the composite key 14 operations for various key value combinations of a 15 16 specific set of data in normal typing mode and utilising the function keys SHIFT, DUAL and DUAL 17 SHIFT under Normal and Caps Lock mode. As set forth 18 19 in Fig. 6a, composite key operations performed are tabulated for exemplary letter (t,T), Symbol (3,#), 20 21 and productivity (th, TH, www.) key sets. These sets represent the default pair of primary and secondary 22 values (and tertiary values for the productivity 23 key), respectively, for their assigned keys in 24 normal typing mode. Note, in capitalisation mode 25 26 the primary and secondary productivity keys are 27 reversed. The Shift key will work normally as used in existing keyboard operations. The Shift key uses 28 the secondary letter key values. The Dual/Dual 29 30 Shift keys work only with the secondary or tertiary key values. The pair value for the Q key has been 31 32 changed and reversed since the letter Q is rarely

34

used singularly and is primarily paired with letter 1 2 U to form the majority if not all, fixed QU words. Thus, the qu is the primary value, QU the secondary 3 value and g the tertiary value. For the MCI key 4 data (th, TH, www.), pressing the MCI key in normal 5 mode summons "th", pressing the SHIFT in combination 6 summons "TH", pressing the DUAL key in combination 7 with the productivity key summons "www." and 8 9 pressing the Dual Shift key in combination with the productivity key summons "WWW." as the normal mode 10 protocol. In caps lock mode, the results for the 11 productivity key data set are respectively the 12 13 reverse. 14 As set forth in FIG. 6b, an enhanced data set is 15 shown wherein the Letter, Symbol and MCI key data 16 sets include three assigned values in normal mode, 17 respectively (t,T,the), (qu, QU, q) and 18 (th, TH, www.). Here the influence is the set 19 20 (t,T,the), which permits normal keys to also have 21 most used word, phrase, abbreviation, mnemonic or command associated with it as a DUAL or DUAL SHIFT 22 accessed key-value. Similarly, function key 23 utilisation according to the above reference 24 protocol can be evaluated by using the key data 25 (t,T,the). In normal mode, pressing the key in 26 normal mode summons "t", pressing the SHIFT in 27 combination summons "T", pressing the DUAL key in 28 29 combination with the key summons "the" and pressing the DUAL SHIFT key in combination with the key 30 summons "THE" as the normal mode protocol. In caps 31 lock mode, the results for the key data set are also 32

35

PCT/GB2005/001111

respectively the reverse. The tables in Figs. 6c-e 1 show full mappings for all other keys. 2 3 The primary advantages of the productivity 4 (efficiency) and ergonomic (accessibility) keyboard 5 6 include the aspects of ergonomics that serve to optimise efficient key access by maximising comfort 7 and minimising unnecessary keystrokes. Inherent to 8 these particular factors includes comfort by 9 retaining the most neutral body positions and by 10 encouraging minimal body movements. The primary 1.1 focus being to substantially reduce the likelihood 12 or probability of acquiring injuries or disorders by 13 minimising stress and fatigue related various parts 14 and muscle groups of the body such as ligaments, 15 musculoskeletal joints, muscle tendons, hand nerves, 16 and neuromuscular trigger points. In this regard, 17 the invention is directed towards merging the needs 18 of able and disabled persons to provide a keyboard 19 that optimises efficient keyboard use and levels the 20 keyboard playing field to include an added benefit 21 of diminished Repetitive Strain Injuries (RSI) and 22 elevated productivity (increased work throughput). 23 24 25 To this end, it is important to differentiate between the use of a software application and its 26 The use of the application is defined by 27 purpose. the physical operations or functions available via 28 the application interface, keyboard, and pointing 29 device, which help fulfil the purpose of the 30 application. The purpose of an application is its 31 32 objective to meet user requirements, and to

36

1 parameterise its use. Mechanisms that enhance the 2 purpose of applications provide diverse or greater 3 methods of application use. This in turn makes the 4 interface, and its keyboard and pointing device. 5 more efficient and effective. 6 7 Conventional keyboards only provide the mechanism to 8 use the applications. The use of the application is 9 determined by the predefined user-permitted 10 operations of the applications that allow it to 11 fulfil its purpose. Thus, conventional keyboards 12 are functional, limited to one-way feeds, from 13 keyboard to application, and do not provide the 14 scope to improve or diversify the application 15 interfaces that would otherwise allow for enhancements to application purpose. For example, 16 in word-processing, all the operations allow one to 17 format and present a document that forms the basis 18 19 of the applications use. The purpose of the 20 application is to enter text, based on language. 21 Thus, the breakdown of language into its bare lexical components, such as letters, digraphs and 22 23 tri-graphs etc., would provide a more efficient and 24 easier mechanism to fulfil the purpose of the 25 application. This also permits the application to diversify its functionality and enhances the 26 versatility of what the application can do with its 27 interface. The same principles can be applied to 28 any software application such as financial trading 29 systems, Internet browsers and the like. 30 31 ability of the keyboard and interface system of the present invention to enhance not only application 32

37

1 use but also application purpose, via the unique MCI 2 keys, improves user interfaces and permits 3 applications to operate more effectively and 4 efficiently with application diversity and 5 versatility. The ideology of the present invention 6 connects the user with the software applications at the information level, thereby fulfilling more the 7 8 purpose than the function. 9 10 As suggested above, a driver-based approach can be 11 used (either in isolation or in combination with the multi-character indicia aspect of the invention 12 13 described above) to reduce a user's physical interactivity with a personal computer. Computer 14 15 keyboard drivers are essential in all operating 16 system (OS) environments, their function being to convert keystrokes to OS language tables, thus 17 18 bridging or translating required notation within all 19 human-to-computer interfaces. It is important to 20 note that the keyboard driver is a critical element 21 to keyboard function and operation and that the additional features of the keyboard driver of the 22 23 present invention is also operable with, and can be 24 extended to, all currently available keyboard 25 drivers. 26 27 Conventional keyboard drivers merely map key legends to OS language tables with little or nothing in the 28 way of sophisticated extensions or add-ons to 29 30 improve performance, versatility and adaptability of the keyboard medium. 31

32

38

However, the keyboard driver of the present 1 2 invention is adapted to implement the enhanced features of the other aspects of the present 3 4 invention leading to increased typing productivity and keyboard adaptability and versatility. 5 keyboard driver of the present invention includes a 6 number of optionally activated and configurable 7 modes including a "double-press mode", a "multi-8 press mode" and a "translator mode" as well as 9 'mapping mode', 'project mode', 'predict mode', and 10 various attributes governing the control and 11 behavioural aspects of the keyboard driver (operable 12 with a user-configurable dictionary). These modes 13 or features accumulate, grow and maintain all 14 dictionary information, including entry or link 15 statistics, probabilities and analytics (scaling 16 patterns of use: historical usages, 17 contextualization, relative associations and 18 occurrences thereof), as well as dictionary chaining 19 information and various generic counts and 20 operational indicators, which inherently facilitates 21 overall intelligence permitting the MDP to adapt to 22 the user's habits, behaviours and working 23 environment. All modes constitute additional 24 features over conventional keyboard drivers, which 25 results in improvements to typing productivity and 26 adaptability and versatility. The aforementioned 27 modes are described in detail below. 28 29 The double-press mode allows a user to select one of 30 two alternative key values/functions depending upon 31 32 whether a key is pressed once or twice within a

39

predetermined time period (i.e. similar to the 1 2 double clicking of a mouse). Normally, the two alternative key values will be: (i) the normal key 3 value (i.e. the value obtained when no function key 4 is used in combination with it); and (ii) the SHIFT 5 value of that key. For example, a single press of 6 key "A" yields key value "a" (i.e. lowercase normal 7 key value) whereas a double-press of key "A" yields 8 key value "A" (i.e. upper case - SHIFT-"A" key 9 value). Of course, the keyboard driver could be 10 adapted such that the second press of a key in 11 double-press mode selects any other alternative key 12 value other than the SHIFT value such as, for 13 example, the DUAL or DUAL SHIFT value. 14 15 The multi-press mode is a natural extension of the 16 "double press mode and is activated by two 17 successive key presses within a predetermined period 18 of time to generate a list of appropriate character 19 20 strings from the dictionaries or by other features that also generate lists of appropriations 21 respective of their determining factors and 22 outcomes, where any list is formulated using entry 23 or link statistics, probabilities and analytics 24 (scaling patterns of use: historical usages, 25 contextualization, relative associations, lengths 26 and occurrences thereof), wherein each progressive 27 28 press of a relative keyboard key up to n times selects the next appropriate character string from 29 the installed dictionaries or from other derived or 30 given lists of n appropriate character strings 31 respectively. Optionally, to gain any productivity 32

PCT/GB2005/001111

40

PCT/GB2005/001111

benefits from the multi-press mode, successive 1 multi-presses must retrieve character strings which: 2 (i) are actively prioritised by frequency of use 3 (either per session or in real time); and (ii) are 4 of a character length greater than or equal to n+1. 5 6 For example, a user can toggle between and/or 7 dynamically integrate different user-installed 8 dictionaries. Dictionaries may consist of mobile 9 texting mnemonics, abbreviations, industry specific 10 jargon such as medical acronyms etc. Prioritisation 11 of each word/mnemonic is updated each time it is 12 selected, typed, scanned, or used to event an 13 occurrence thereof. An optional feature is the 14 storage of any new words within the dictionary (with 15 NULL description). 16 17 18 An extension of the multi-press mode is a 19 translation mode, which can be set during installation or run-time configuration to one of the 20 following conditions: OFF, Translate+ (i.e. 21 translate maximise) or Translate- (i.e. translate 22 minimise). When set at Translate+, any character 23 string (for example, a word or mnemonic), whether 24 selected using the multi-press mode or not, will 25 automatically expand into definition/description 26 stored within a dictionary. Alternatively, when set 27 at Translate-, any character string (for example, a 28 phrase or a sentence) will automatically contract 29 into a shortened version (for example, an 30 abbreviation or an acronym) stored within a 31 dictionary. Accordingly, the translation mode 32

•

41

WO 2005/093555

PCT/GB2005/001111

performs two-way translations depending upon the 1 2 particular settings chosen by the user. Examples of the operation of the translation mode are shown in 3 the table in Fig. 9. As illustrated in the table of 4 Fig. 9, translations can be performed using a 5 variety of configurable dictionaries, which are run-6 7 time user configurable or downloadable from the internet in real time. 8 9 The dictionaries are used to determine user typing 10 habits and behaviour and adapt the MDP keyboard to 11 the user environment dynamically. This reduces 12 excessive repetition and redundancy within typing, 13 thus further improving productivity (efficiency) and 14 accessibility (ergonomics). The dictionaries hold 15 various statistics (basics include frequency, 16 17 length, pattern density / versatility, chronological weight and direction / operational indicators etc.), 18 probabilities (basics include cognitive coherence, 19 occurrence ratios, context ratios, and associative 20 index etc.) and run-time analytics (scaling patterns 21 of use: historical usages, contextualization, 22 relative associations and occurrences thereof) that 23 are dynamically updated in real-time and in 24 accordance of use for all entries, links and chains 25 maintained within the dictionaries, and further 26 statistical attributes, software control dynamics, 27 entry / link / chain attributes and indicators may 28 evolve in the future. Many dictionaries can be 29 configured at any one time and each can be of a 30 different type. Duplications are handled by 31 prioritising the installed dictionaries where by 32

42

entries within a higher priority dictionary have 1 2 precedence or are determined if manual overrides 3 have been put in place by the user during 4 installation or run-time configuration. All 5 dictionaries are dynamic and therefore can be 6 duplicated into various other languages or 7 downloaded from the internet and configured during 8 run-time. 9 The interface system of the present invention (which 10 will be known under the Trade Marks MT-iDICT™ and/or 11 12 AdapTex TM) provides and maintains an adaptive 13 intelligence data dictionary system. This interface 14 system controls and uses various interactivity dynamics, statistics and full 15 16 descriptions/translations of each entry (e.g. mnemonics, abbreviations or acronyms) stored within 17 one or more data dictionaries installed within a 18 storage means of the MT-iDICT™ interface system. 19 20 None, one or more than one dictionary can be installed at any given time. Dictionary instalment 21 22 and configuration thereof can be done in real-time. 23 24 Each data dictionary holds qualitative and/or 25 quantitative information relating to a given data string. Examples of qualitative and/or quantitative 26 information are as follows: (i) statistical 27 28 information relating to a data string's historical 29 usage or selection (i.e. frequency of use/selection, 30 character length, lexical pattern density/versatility, chronological weight and 31 32 direction/operational indicators etc.); (ii)

43

probability information relating to a data string's 1 historical usage (i.e. occurrence and/or association 2 ratios of two or more sub-data strings within a 3 longer data string; context ratios determining the 4 likelihood of a given data string being grouped with 5 one or more other sub-data strings to determine the 6 context of a longer data string; or other 7 statistical derivatives based on language and user 8 traits such as timestamp, cognitive coherence, 9 perceptual indices, associative indices, grammar 10 orients, correlative weights, inference ratios and 11 pattern factorisation etc.); (iii) run-time 12 analytics (scaling patterns of use, historical 13 usages, contextualization, relative associations and 14 occurrences thereof); (iv) dictionary priority; (v) 15 dictionary chains (where each chain also retains and 16 uses the information in (i), (ii) and (iii) above); 17 (vi) data string links between other data strings 18 (where each link also retains and uses the 19 information in (i), (ii) and (iii) above); and 20 (vii) translations. 21 22 All of the qualitative and quantitative information 23 is dynamically updated in real-time and in 24 accordance of use for all entries or data strings, 25 links and chains, translations maintained within the 26 dictionaries (described in further detail below), 27 and further statistical attributes & software 28 control dynamics. 29 30 The data dictionaries can be manually populated. 31

32 Alternatively, the data dictionaries can be

44

PCT/GB2005/001111

1 automatically populated by use of document or text scanners, which scan data strings and assemble their 2 3 statistics, probabilities, run-time analytics as well as associative links between data strings. 4 The idea being that such documents or texts written by a 5 6 user reflect the behavioural use of vocabulary and 7 patterns of the language(s) reflected by the user. 8 A data string may be in the form of a full data 9 string (i.e. a word, phrase, sentence etc.) or a 10 corresponding truncated data string such as a 11 mnemonic, abbreviation or acronym. 12 prioritisation of data retrieved from a data 13 dictionary is user-configurable to allow a user to 14 15 prioritise the ordering of data listed on a display 16 means according to selected qualitative and/or quantitative characteristics. The user configurable 17 18 parameters include system behavioural parameters, data string statistics, probabilities and analytics 19 (scaling patterns of use: historical usages, 20 contextualization, relative associations and 21 occurrences thereof), and dictionary priorities. 22 23 In addition to those mentioned above, further 24 qualitative and/or quantitative characteristics may 25 include: (i) the presence or absence of one or more 26 data string fragments in the form of digraphs and/or 27 tri-graphs and/or tetra-graphs etc within a full or 28 truncated data string; (ii) the presence or absence 29 of truncated data strings in the form of mnemonics, 30 abbreviations or acronyms which correspond with the 31 32 full data string; (iii) two-way translations between

45

full data strings and their corresponding truncated 1 data strings; (iv) the frequency of two-way 2 verbatim, correlated and/or inferred translations 3 between two languages (i.e. English to French); (v) 4 the character-length of each full data string or its 5 translation or any corresponding truncated data 6 string; (vi) the frequency of selection by a user of 7 each full data string (i.e. words, numbers, symbols, 8 emoticons etc.) or its translation or any 9 corresponding truncated data string; (vii) the 10 frequency of forward and backward translations 11 between full and truncated data strings; and (viii) 12 the frequency of forward and backward verbatim, 13 correlated and/or inferred translations between two 14 languages. Each data dictionary may also hold 15 indicator flags that dictate and delimit control and 16 use of the stored data by the software, and the 17 level that it pertains to relative software tiers. 18 19 Data strings stored within the data dictionaries are 20 selected/accessed using the first character of the 21 data string, and ordered by descending frequency and 22 ascending length for basic default sequencing. 23 ordering is configurable by the user using any field 24 (qualitative or quantitative) of the data 25 dictionary. Ordering can also be configured to be 26 ascending or descending. The first character is 27 sourced from a single key press or a composite group 28 of first characters obtained from key presses. 29 30 A configuration tool permits setting the various 31

behavioural aspects (also known as physical

32

46

PCT/GB2005/001111

interactivity reduction characteristics) of the MT-1 iDICT[™] interface system. The behavioural aspects 2 3 (physical interactivity reduction characteristics) 4 are as follows: (i) automatically entering a space 5 after a selected full or truncated data string; (ii) limitation of displayed mnemonics to those having a 6 7 total number of characters greater than the number of key presses required to display said mnemonic on 8 the data display means; and (iii) automatically 9 performing forward or backward translations between 10 mnemonics or abbreviations or acronyms and their 11 12 corresponding full data strings. 13 14 Further behavioural aspects include specifying the 15 number of selected entries to be displayed or listed 16 on the display means at any one time, maximising a mnemonic to become the most frequent of its category 17 with highest priority, editing of entries, or 18 19 ordering run-time selections based on certain 20 qualitative or quantitative characteristics in 21 ascending or descending order etc. 22 23 Further behavioural aspects include specifying a 24 projection of n words or sentences by way of using the associative indices and other 25 26 qualitative/quantitative statistical derivatives. 27 28 The interface system can also determine a user's 29 most frequently used phrases (i.e. full data 30 strings) and automatically abbreviate or implode them into a mnemonic, acronym or other abbreviation 31 32 (i.e. a truncated data string). This allows a user

47

PCT/GB2005/001111

1 to have fewer key presses via the truncated data 2 string which can then be manually or auto-translated 3 into its corresponding full data string. See the Trans+ and Trans- buttons on the personal computer 4 5 of Fig. 2a which can be used to perform manual imploding or exploding of data strings. 6 7 personal computer can also be configured to perform 8 this function automatically. 9 A limited number of most used entries pertaining to 10 a key press can be displayed at any one time. 11 Additional entries can be scrolled through using the 12 navigation means up to a maximum set by the 13 configuration tool. 14 15 The diversity of dictionary types is enormous, e.g. 16 17 one thousand most used words, mnemonics, acronyms, abbreviations, conversions, Short Message Service 18 19 (SMS) texting data, emoticons or other data specific 20 to the user and/or a user's working environment etc. Data dictionaries can be even more specialised by 21 22 being departmentalised within specific working 23 environments. For example, in a medical environment 24 the dictionaries can reflect symptoms and remedies, ailments and pharmaceuticals, or simply provide 25 26 normal medical terms and their definitions. reservation environment, the dictionaries can 27 28 reflect airlines, destinations, flight codes, seating, hotels, prices etc. In an investment 29 30 trading environment the dictionaries can reflect trading instruments, traders, portfolios, Reuters 31 Instrument Codes (RIC), trader specific RICs, 32

48

quantities, buy/sell prices and forecast analytics 1 2 etc. 3 Dictionaries can also be integrated into any other 4 software and controlled dynamically to reflect 5 changing circumstances to the entries within 6 respective dictionaries. This provides real-time 7 adaptive intelligence relative to the user, working 8 environment and type of system being used adjacent 9 to its purpose. 10 11 The real-time maintenance of dictionaries and the 12 dynamics of the $MT-iDICT^{TM}$ interface system allow it 13 to contour towards a user's traits and uses of the 14 device, along with the user's use of language and 15 level of vocabulary. This enables the $\mathtt{MT}\text{-}\mathtt{iDICT}^{\mathtt{TM}}$ 16 interface system to be adaptive and intelligent 17 relative to the user's volume, level and type of use 18 of the system. Over time, the data dictionaries 19 will evolve to reflect the most favourable and most 20 appropriate or relevant data strings used by the 21 user and thus adapt and contour the $\mathtt{MT-iDICT^{TM}}$ 22 interface system relative to, and more appropriately 23 towards, the user. 24 25 As with the multi-character indicia aspect of the 26 present invention, the benefits of the interface 27 system include ease of use, reduced user-28 interactivity, elevated efficiency and thus enhanced 29 productivity that in turn yields improved accuracy 30 and flexibility. Reduced interactivity is a 31 stress/strain antidote that reduces the risk and 32

PCT/GB2005/001111

49

PCT/GB2005/001111

occurrence of Repetitive Strain Injuries (RSI). 1 Furthermore, reduced interactivity has the further 2 benefit of lessening wear and tear of the personal 3 computer itself. The combination of both the multi-4 character indicia aspect of the invention together 5 with the software elements of the interface system 6 provides the greatest benefits in terms of 7 facilitating a reduction in the number of physical 8 key presses required to create a given data string. 9 10 Synchronisation of users' data dictionaries between 11 personal computers maintains accurate translations, 12 semantics and meanings. Synchronisation can occur 13 or be accomplished using infrared, Bluetooth® or 14 other wireless connectivity methods available on 15 personal computers. Alternatively, central 16 repositories or databases can be maintained by the 17 communications service providers that computers can 18 access easily, or they can be maintained and 19 accessed/downloaded via internet web sites. 20 synchronisation mechanisms maintain consistency of 21 dictionaries and their use thereof by groups of 22 users. The central repositories (i.e. internet 23 databases) provide a means to standardise 24 dictionaries for the general population of users. 25 26 Once the interface system software and $\mathtt{MT-iDICT}^{\mathtt{TM}}$ 27 data dictionary facilities are integrated/installed 28 into the computer, the software aspects can use and 29 process MT-iDICT™ data dictionaries using standard 30 systemic logic to achieve optimum utilisation, i.e. 31 using best processing methods and techniques to 32

50

PCT/GB2005/001111

WO 2005/093555

obtain all the efficiency benefits. 1 configuration tool also permits the scanning of 2 existing messages resident on the personal computer 3 or the downloading/transfer into the computer (i.e. 4 by either Internet, another PC or other compatible 5 device using cable or wireless technologies) of 6 dictionary data in order to acclimatise the MT-7 iDICTTM data dictionaries relative to the data 8 strings used within the messages. 9 10 The interface system software uses the $\mathtt{MT-iDICT}^{\mathtt{TM}}$ 11 dictionaries according to the key press sequences 12 entered by the user either in passive mode or in 13 active real-time dynamic mode. Various navigation 14 features can be used in parallel or adjacent to the 15 interface system software in order to rapidly access 16 the most frequently used (i.e. typed) information. 17 The interface system software reduces the physical 18 aspects of repetitive and recursive typing thereby 19 enhancing efficiency and ease of use and improving 20 21 the overall effectivity and experience in using a personal computer. 22 23 The present invention includes various physical 24 interactivity reduction features (PIRS) which 25 facilitate a reduction in the number of key presses 26 27 required to create or delete a given data string. 28 Screen options or existing physical buttons can be 29 used to perform translations (see the 'Trans+' and 30 'Trans-' buttons in Figs. 2a and 2b). Double 31 pressing of a given key on the keyboard accesses the 32

1 most used word or phrase beginning with the tapped

51

PCT/GB2005/001111

- 2 letter or generates a prioritised list of the most
- 3 frequently used words corresponding to the typed
- 4 letter or letters. This allows the user to
- 5 conveniently select the desired word or phrase from
- 6 the list. Alternatively, double pressing can be
- 7 configured to simply upper case the typed letter or
- 8 letters.

WO 2005/093555

9

10 The first data string or system option in a Pop-Up

- 11 Selection List (PSL) is highlighted for selection by
- 12 the user by default. The highlighted data string or
- 13 system option is selected/activated by scrolling or
- 14 using other cursor navigation controls. Highlighted
- data strings or system options are also
- 16 automatically selected if any other key is pressed,
- or via a navigation movement.

18

19 Alternatively, the first letter of each data string

- 20 is underlined whereby pressing the relevant key
- 21 selects the data string or system option without the
- 22 need to scroll or navigate to it first. Where there
- is more than one data string or system option with
- 24 the same initial character, these are scrolled
- 25 through in the order presented in the Pop-Up
- 26 Selection List (PSL).

27

- When the PSL is displayed, the desired data string
- 29 (for example, a mnemonic) can simply be selected by
- 30 directly scrolling to it. Alternatively, if the
- 31 desired data string does not appear in the list, the

52

PCT/GB2005/001111

1 next letter of the data string is typed to further 2 filter the PSL. 3 The MT-iDICTTM interface system is not a Predictive 4 Typing Systems (PTS). PTS integration with MT-5 6 iDICT[™] interface system would allow the PTS to 7 predict more accurately since it is adapting to the users vocabulary in real-time and can presume to hit 8 9 the users most used data strings (i.e. words, mnemonics, sentences etc.) at every instance. 10 11 The MT-iDICTTM interface system formulates logic and 12 13 prioritisations derived from the data storage qualitative or quantitative information, methods, 14 15 frequencies and patterns of behaviour and usages of words/mnemonics of the user. Thus, it becomes 16 adaptive to the user and the user's vocabulary and 17 traits. This provides the most favourable and most 18 appropriate and relevant choices for the user based 19 on the user's actual vocabulary, historic usages, 20 21 frequencies, patterns of use, methods and 22 prioritisations, each being derived from the qualitative or quantitative information stored in 23 the data storage means. The $\mathtt{MT}\text{-}\mathrm{iDICT}^{\mathtt{TM}}$ interface 24 system provides data string choices based on actual 25 26 usages rather than on guesswork as to what the user 27 is trying to create relative to a static generic 28 dictionary. 29 30 Predicting typing systems do not reduce the amount of interactivity as effectively as MT-iDICTTM 31 interface system purely because the former still 32

53

requires further key-presses to guide its 1 2 prediction, whereas the latter simply provides discrete choices of full or partial data strings 3 (i.e. shortcuts, whole words, phrases, or partial 4 data strings that can be used to build up or 5 complete fuller data strings, e.g. digraphs, tri-6 graphs, tetra-graphs and symbol-graphs). 7 8 When the personal computer is in text input mode, 9 PSL's are displayed upon detection of an activating 10 tap and/or appropriate navigations by the user. 11 PSL's show the most frequently used or most 12 appropriate or relevant data strings for each letter 13 14 or digit associated with the pressed key. 15 16 User typed data strings are entered into the MTiDICT[™] dictionary when no such entry exists. 17 mechanism allows the device to adapt to a user's 18 usage and a user's environment that dictates the 19 type and level of use. The new entries are 20 immediately accessible by the MT-iDICTTM interface 21 Thus, the $MT-iDICT^{TM}$ adapts dynamically in 22 real-time making interaction for the user more 23 familiar and making relative information more 24 25 apparent to use and/or access. 26 Software facilities, inserts or application macros 27 can be accessed using the PSL facility also. 28 29 An extension to the translation mode is the 30 31 automatic generation of acronyms, abbreviations and conversions. Here the keyboard driver can 32

54

dynamically determine acronyms, abbreviations and 1 2 conversions for such linked associations, thereby providing automated translator shortcuts for the 3 most recurring or commonly used phrases, sentences 4 or texts of n character strings, which can be stored 5 and maintained within any dictionary and made 6 readily available. The user is made aware of such 7 automated acronyms, abbreviations and conversions 8 via the keyboard driver dictionary console, display 9 / reporting and edit features where the user can 10 also create personalised shortcuts and where these 11 shortcuts can include system or device commands and 12 executable instructions / macros. 13 14 The interface system is also provided with a 15 'mapping mode'. Dependent on this mode being 16 activated and various chains between dictionaries 17 being predefined and established by the user during 18 installation or via run-time configuration tools, or 19 automatic chaining being activated, the keyboard 20 driver will perform chained translations of typed or 21 highlighted text. This involves the keyboard driver 22 scanning and mapping appropriate translations from 23 one dictionary to another. Here the keyboard driver 24 maintains lookup chains between any dictionaries 25 such that dynamic mapping can be made from one 26 dictionary to another, and so on. For example, 27 English-to-French (dog, chien) and French-to-German 28 (chien, hund) dictionaries can be chained such that 29 it can infer English-to-German (dog, hund) mapping. 30 31

1 More sophisticated dynamic mappings could chain, for

55

PCT/GB2005/001111

2 example, a symptoms dictionary to a prescriptions

3 dictionary whereby relevant character strings are

4 matched between any dictionary entries and

5 translations to dynamically chain such dictionaries

6 together and induce n ailment to medicine mappings.

7 A single mapping is definitive whereas a list of n

8 mappings are prioritised accordingly and made

9 available via the PSL feature. The number of

10 chained dictionaries is dependent on the number and

11 permutations of installed dictionaries.

12

WO 2005/093555

13 The interface system is also provided with a

14 'project mode'. When activated, the various links

between entries within respective installed

16 dictionaries (the links being predefined or

17 established automatically or manually by the user

during installation or run-time) allow the keyboard

19 driver to determine and project the most likely

20 associations between n entries relative to the typed

21 or highlighted text. The most relevant, user

22 contoured and adaptive appropriations spanning n

23 derived sub-data strings are then displayed for

24 selection by a user.

25

26 The keyboard driver maintains associative links

27 between data strings within two or more

28 dictionaries, such that these links can be used to

29 dynamically infer relative associations between data

30 strings based on link statistics, probabilities and

31 analytics (scaling patterns of use: historical

32 usages, contextualization, relative associations and

56

PCT/GB2005/001111

occurrences thereof). This allows the keyboard 1 driver to project and retrieve the n most likely 2 3 appropriations or closely associated data strings from the dictionaries that are relevant, contextual, 4 definitive and user oriented, and each data string 5 being relative to a previous linked association or 6 typed data string. 7 8 Optionally, the interface system can dynamically 9 retrieve a list of alternative appropriations 10 relative to each linked association used to induce 11 each of the n respective data strings, whereby each * 12 list of alternative appropriations are prioritised 13 14 and made available via the PSL feature. Once a 15 longer data string is selected from the PSL, this dynamically induces and propagates a further 16 relative projection and retrieval of n further data 17 strings, each being relative to a previous linked 18 19 association or multi-press selection. 20 In predict mode, dependent on this mode being 21 activated, the keyboard driver will derive a best 22 match or appropriation relative to the current typed 23 letter or letters, or typed or given / highlighted 24 text pattern, where these letter, letters and/or 25 patterns are the initial letter, letters and/or 26 patterns of entries selected from the dictionaries. 27 Here, the keyboard driver can dynamically best match 28 current typed letter or letters against most likely 29 appropriations from the dictionaries, where the 30 31 appropriations all begin with the same typed letter or letters. These appropriations are dynamically 32

57

PCT/GB2005/001111

1 retrieved based on given priority of each installed 2 dictionary and entry statistics, probabilities and analytics (scaling patterns of use: historical 3 4 usages, contextualization, relative associations and 5 occurrences thereof). A single best-matched appropriation is definitive where as a list of best 6 7 matched appropriations are prioritized accordingly 8 and made available via the multi-press feature. 9 10 Fig. 13 shows a table of associatively linked and prioritised data strings. The MT-iDICT™ interface 11 12 system can multi-link dictionary entries to other entries within the same and/or other dictionaries. 13 14 These links are based on analytics of patterns of 15 use or relativity between the linked entries. These analytics are dynamic because they change priorities 16 and switch context according to patterns of use. 17 18 19 Thus, a user can specify n projections whereby MTiDICT™ will link entries to give n sequential 20 appropriation lists of up to, say, five subsequent 21 22 outcomes relative to a previous entry. Each 23 subsequent appropriation list is prioritised and 24 each can then be selected out of the five if 25 required, most likely not since the top entry for each list will be most likely for use. 26 27 For example, if the word "Next" is typed then the 28 29 projected words (sub-data strings) shown in Fig. 13 30 would appear (i.e. 'generation', 'of', 'adaptive', 31 'intelligence', 'interfaces'). Each projected word 32 produces a PSL (for example, the word 'generation'

58

produces a list of other words below it) that can be 1 scrolled or otherwise navigated through for 2 selection when a user skips to each projection 3 unless a user accepts the suggested projection. The 4 PSL is in priority order of patterns of use and 5 context switching. The spacing in the table is for 6 clarity only and would not appear on as such on the 7 display. 8 9 If a suggested word is altered then the subsequent 10 words would change dynamically, contextually as well 11 as associatively and relative to the new selected 12 word. The user can alternatively type a new word 13 from scratch over any original word selection. 14 15 On typing each letter of the word 'Next', 16 appropriate selection lists are derived where the 17 beginning of each list entry reflects the current 18 typed letters. For example, typing the letters 'Ne' 19 would provide a list of say, 'Next, Never, Neither, 20 Neighbour, Nederland'. From such a list the highest 21 weighted entry would be shown, in this particular 22 example 'Next' and the letters 'xt' would be 23 highlighted and available for selection to complete 24 word 'Next'. 25 26 The $\operatorname{MT-iDICT}^{\operatorname{TM}}$ interface system will also appropriate 27 the word as it is typed and dynamically change the 28 projections according to any changes to it. 29 makes it much more adaptive intelligent than it 30 already is. It is envisaged that future versions 31 will have true syntax, context, semantic and grammar 32

59

projections derived from LONGMANS, WEBSTERS, COLLINS 1 2 and OXFORD research dictionary data. An option to highlight only words within a projection that 3 4 require changing is provided, where remaining 5 unselected words are not dynamically changed. 6 7 Continual flow from one selection to subsequent 8 words should be provided such that a SPACE or cursor 9 movement is adequate to perform a selection without the need to use additional select methods, i.e. a 10 11 cursor movement from a highlight auto-selects the 12 highlighted item unless another mechanism is used to 13 do otherwise. 14 15 Optionally, the data processing means can provide manual or automatic spell check features. 16 17 Optionally, the data processing means can provide a 18 freeze point enabling the retrieval of static constant appropriations as opposed to dynamic, and 19 which can be based on either most recent or current 20 21 captured entry statistics, probabilities and 22 analytics (scaling patterns of use: historical 23 usages, contextualization, relative associations and occurrences thereof), or manually intervened 24 prioritisation or overrides. 25 26 27 Duplications are handled by prioritising the 28 installed dictionaries whereby entries within a 29 higher priority dictionary have precedence or are 30 determined if manual overrides have been put in 31 place by the user during installation or run-time 32 configuration.

60

1	
2	The following provides an example method to
3	represent dictionary information, indexing and
4	chaining as shown in Fig. 14. It also depicts an
5	example method to represent dictionary entry
6	information, indexing and linking. Although RDBMS
7	could be uséd, a dynamic method could use system
8	character code tables or repertoires that come in
9	standard ASCII, ISO and other formats that also
10	include language character variants. The system
11	character codes provide the index to each series of
12	dictionary entries that begin with that code.
13	Subsequent entries of the same code are dynamically
14	generated and linked to the previous entry in the
15	same array for that code. Each entry holds its own
16	statistical derivatives such as (i) timestamp (the
17	date and time the dictionary entry, chain or link
18	was created, last used or accessed; (ii)
19	translation, expansion, frequency, length, cognitive
20	coherence (i.e. measures the versatility &
21	flexibility of patterns, their ease of re-usability
22	and the placement of language based patterns); (iii)
23	perceptual indices (i.e. measures the strength of
24	recognizing patterns and deciphering language based
25	patterns, even when patterns are incorrect or
26	misspelled); (iv) associative indices (i.e. measures
27	the relativity between two or more patterns by
28	calculating the frequency of a combination of words
29	or the relationship between words); (v) grammar
30	orients (i.e. the lexical syntax or placement of
31	patterns and their semantics and contextual
32	positioning of nouns, verbs, adverbs, adjectives

61

etc.); (vi) correlative weights (i.e. measures the 1 2 semantic relationship or association between two or more patterns where different words mean the same or 3 elaborate other words similar to thesaurus weights); 4 (vii) inference ratios (i.e. measures the likelihood 5 of a semantic relationship or association between 6 two or more patterns by assessing the occurrence of 7 one word or sub-data string within a grouping of 8 other words or longer data strings; (viii) pattern 9 factorisation (i.e. measures the ability to 10 create/breakdown larger patterns from/to smaller 11 patterns wherein in a textual or graphic context, 12 letters, numbers & symbols have highest 13 factorization, then digraphs, tri-graphs, tetra-14 graphs, 5+ letter words, phrases, sentences, 15 paragraphs, chapters and finally whole texts in this 16 order of factorization). 17 18 Additionally entry links are formed to associate 19 20 entries between themselves, i.e. maintain etymological relationships and statistical 21 derivatives between entries. These entry links 22 again are indexed using system character code 23 tables. The system character codes provide the 24 index to each series of entry links that begin with 25 that code. Subsequent links of the same code are 26 dynamically generated and linked to the previous 27 28 link in the same array of that code. 29 Dictionary chaining provides correlation and 30 inference between dictionaries and their entries and 31

·

WO 2005/093555 PCT/GB2005/001111

62

1 Entry linking provides inference and 2 association between entries and their links. 3 4 This method allows dynamic generation of 5 dictionaries and their variable entries and 6 respective entry links. It also provides an example indexing system for rapid access to entries and 7 their associated or related link entries. 8 9 method permits a spatial/multi-dimensional matrix to 10 represent dictionary dynamics. 11 12 A unique aspect of the present invention is that it 13 provides mechanisms or Application Programming Interfaces (API) that allows other software systems 14 to utilise and benefit from all the features of this 15 invention, and to enable improved experiences for 16 the user with such software systems. Additional to 17 this, the API allows other software systems data 18 storage or information repositories to be handled by 19 20 this invention in similar manner to its own 21 dictionaries etc. 22 Predictive Typing Systems (PTS) do not reduce the 23 amount of interactivity as effectively as the MT-24 ${\tt iDICT^{TM}}$ interface system purely because the former 25 26 still requires further key-presses to guide its 27 prediction, whereas the latter simply provides discrete choices of full or partial data strings 28 29 (i.e. shortcuts, whole words, phrases, or partial 30 data strings that can be used to build up or complete fuller data strings, e.g. digraphs, tri-31 32 graphs, tetra-graphs and symbol-graphs).

63

1	
2	The $\mathtt{MT-iDICT^{TM}}$ interface system has a standardised
3	1000 Most Used Words, SMS text entries, acronyms,
4	conversions and emoticons. However, additional
5	dictionaries can be installed as standard either
6	when the personal computer is shipped or when users
7	pre-install their bespoke dictionaries on setup.
8	These new entries can be edited by the user at will.
9	
10	The examples shown in Fig. 13 assume that the MT-
11	$\mathtt{iDICT}^{\mathtt{TM}}$ interface system is in static mode, whereby
12	the sequence/order of displayed letters associated
13	with their respective key is depicted in
14	conventional chronological order. Whenever in text
15	input mode the illustrated Pop-Up selection lists
16	are displayed according to the activating key and
17	appropriate navigations. The Pop-Up selection lists
18	also depict examples of the most frequently used
19	mnemonics based on prioritizations derived from the
20	data storage (dictionary, data string, chain or link
21	etc.) qualitative and/or quantitative information,
22	methods, and patterns of use or numbers relative to
23	each letter or digit associated with its respective
24	key.
25	
26	User typed words are entered into the $\mathtt{MT-iDICT^{TM}}$
27	interface system's data dictionary when no such
28	entry existed beforehand. In addition, relevant
29	data string links, associations and
30	contextualization parameters are also derived and
31	maintained for all such new data string entries
32	within the data storage means. This mechanism

32

64

PCT/GB2005/001111

allows the device to adapt to the users usage and 1 environment that dictates type and level of use. 2 The new entries are immediately accessible by normal 3 $\mathtt{MT-iDICT^{TM}}$ means. Thus, the $\mathtt{MT-iDICT^{TM}}$ interface 4 system adapts in real time making interaction for 5 the user more familiar, and relative information 6 more apparent to use and/or access. 7 8 Software application names relative to a letter on a 9 key can be set to macro mode, thus when tapping the 10 key the PSL gives option to start an application 11 from its list (e.g. keys W, X, Y, Z: WORD, XCEL, 12 13 YAHOO, ZANY KONG). 14 As shown in Fig. 9, dedicated keys or buttons are 15 provided on the keyboard. The multi-press 16 key/button and the Trans mode keys/buttons are used 17 to toggle between activated and deactivates states 18 respectively. Regardless of whether the translation 19 mode is set to OFF, the Translate- and Translate+ 20 buttons allow the user to manually highlight a 21 section of text and press the Translate+ or 22 Translate- key to perform an appropriate translation 23 without overriding the otherwise automatic operation 24 of the translation mode. Indeed manual use of the 25 Translate+ and Translate- keys in this fashion can 26 also be used when the automatic translation mode has 27. been set to OFF. 28 29 The MULTI DEL and MULTI BSPC keys (described above) 30 behave slightly differently when used immediately 31 after a translation has occurred. For instance,

65

when the translation mode is active, the character 1 2 string "call me asap." automatically expands to "call me as soon as possible." if the character 3 string ends with a non-character SYMBOL (in this 4 case a full stop). The immediate use of the MULTI 5 BSPC key at this point would firstly revert back to 6 "call me asap" before fully functioning as a 7 multiple backspace (i.e. deleting the whole 8 sentence" configured by the user. In the same 9 scenario, a regular backspace key would function as 10 normal and singularly delete characters from right 11 12 to left. 13 The keyboard driver also opens a separate 14 installation or run-time configuration window when 15 in multi-press mode giving a range of user-definable 16 options. For example, a user can select the maximum 17 value of n, whereby n is the number of most used 18 words to be retrieved from the dictionary during 19 20 multi-press mode. A check box is provided to enable or disable the multi-press mode functionality when a 21 22 key is double pressed only (i.e. without a third press within a predetermined period of time from the 23 24 second press). 25 26 The keyboard driver is of course provided with userdefinable speed settings for the double-press and 27 28 multi-press modes, much like those provided for double-click setting for a mouse. Furthermore, the 29 keyboard driver also provides options for cursor 30 selection in order that a user can visually 31 determine whether or not the double-press or multi-32

66

1 press modes are active. It will be appreciated by 2 those skilled in the art that the double-press and multi-press modes are particularly beneficial to 3 4 users having limited use of the fingers. 5 6 The MULTI DEL and MULTI BSPC keys can be adapted to 7 operate in the translator mode to successively 8 revert from the stored definition/description of a word to the word itself (i.e. upon a single press) 9 and then delete both the definition/description and 10 11 the word itself (i.e. upon a second press). 12 The keyboard driver performs the mapping of keyboard 13 signals, which are buffered on a First-In-First-Out 14 (FIFO) basis. Fig. 11 shows two tables that 15 illustrate the mapping of key press events in a FIFO 16 17 buffer for the typing sequence "Here's another 18 query" (where underlined letters correspond to the digraphs on the appropriate productivity keys of the 19 20 . first aspect). Although the FIFO buffer will almost 21 always be empty since all key-press events will be 22 mapped and dispatched immediately to the operating 23 system and receptive software application, a 24 temporary buffer to store pending characters is 25 recommended to alleviate any possible operating system of software application delays or latencies 26 27 or conflicts. 28 Fig. 11 also shows a schematic operating scenario 29 for a FIFO buffer in "piped multi channel" mode. 30 The multi channel mode operates when the key value 31

FIFO buffer is used simultaneously by two or more

32

67

PCT/GB2005/001111

software applications. The multi channel mode will 1 2 be specifically useful for use with the enhanced keyboard driver of the present invention. 3 piping of the buffer helps avoid buffer complexity, 4 conflict or contention issues particularly during 5 simultaneous use by two or more software 6 7 applications. 8 9 An alternative multi channel mode can also be 10 implemented by duplicating the key value FIFO buffer thus providing a secondary channel for the input of 11 a second software application. The secondary key-12 value FIFO buffer is always a dynamic replication of 13 the primary key value FIFO buffer. The primary and 14 currently active keyboard application is the only 15 application that can pop/push/flush the primary key 16 value FIFO buffer. A secondary software application 17 cannot pop/push/flush either key value FIFO buffers. 18 The secondary software application may only feed 19 20 from the secondary key value FIFO buffer. Such 21 rules ensure that no conflict or contention issues occur regarding the key value FIFO buffer. An 22 alternative is to make the key value FIFO buffer 23 shareable whilst still applying the above rules to 24 give control to the primary software application. 25 26 All other secondary applications simply feed off the key value FIFO buffer. 27 28 Fig. 7 shows a calculator portion of a computer 29 30

keyboard according to a third aspect of the present The calculator portion of the keyboard invention. 31 according to the present invention has been provided 32

68

with a more sophisticated functionality and 1 2 arrangement. 3 The keyboard shown in Fig. 7 comprises an array of 4 5 conventional numerical and calculator operator keys, a plurality of calculator control-keys and a liquid 6 7 crystal display (LCD) located on the keyboard itself. 8 9 The calculator control-keys comprise: (i) a first 10 control key for selectively displaying the results 11 of calculations performed using the array of 12 numerical and calculator operator keys on the LCD; 13 and (ii) a second control key for selectively 14 sending the results of calculations performed using 15 the array of numerical and calculator operator keys 16 17 to a computer. 18 The calculator control-keys are operable in 19 combination with the numerical and calculator 20 operator keys to determine (a) whether calculator-21 related or special characters are displayed on the 22 keyboard's LCD display and/or on an alternative 23 display such as a Visual Display Unit (VDU) via the 24 computer; and (b) whether the results of 25 mathematical calculations performed by the 26 calculator keys are displayed on the keyboard's LCD 27 display and/or on an alternative display such as a 28 Visual Display Unit (VDU) via the computer. 29 30 In addition to the numeric key-values 0-9, the 31 functional indicia of the first subset of keys 32

69

1 comprise any or all of the following: +, -, /, *, 2 MR, M+, M-, MC, C/AC, SEND, ENTER, MKUP (Mark Up), 3 %, $\sqrt{\text{and } +/-}$. 4 5 Whereby, the latter four operators are defaults but 6 are programmable during installation or run-time 7 configuration to reflect other standard, financial 8 or scientific mathematical operations. 9 10 The calculator control-keys consist of the following 11 two keys each of which can toggle between activated and deactivated states: the "CALC LK" button and the 12 "NUM LK" key. The CALC LK key selectively enables 13 and disables the calculator and numeric keypad 14 functions of the calculator portion of the keyboard. 15 16 The NUM LOCK key works in the conventional manner whereby when activated it accesses the numeric and 17 18 operator key values, and when deactivated it 19 accesses the auxiliary key values (i.e. cursor 20 controls, home, Pg Up, Pg Dn, End, Ins and Del). 21 Regardless of the NUM LOCK state, the activation of 22 the LCD calculator via the CALC LK button overrides the NUM LOCK state and localises the numeric keypad 23 24 to operate with the LCD calculator. The ENTER and 25 SEND keys permit calculations to be localized or 26 relayed to the computer respectively, and both 27 update the LCD accordingly. 28 When the computer is itself turned off, the CALC LK 29 30 key can be used to activate or deactivate the 31 keyboard calculator for use as a standalone desktop 32 calculator. This feature does of course rely on the

70

1 keyboard having its own battery or solar cell for 2 powering the calculator. 3 4 In addition, the calculator is provided with a retention buffer that holds a calculation history of 5 6 n items including the most recent numeric entries, 7 operators and equated values. It should be noted that the retention buffer is totally separate from 8 9 the standard calculator memory operated using the conventional memory buttons (i.e. M+, M-, MR, MC). 10 The retention buffer allows a user to scroll through 11 12 the entries stored in the buffer using the UP and DOWN arrow keys, whereby each scrolled entry is 13 14 respectively displayed on the LCD display. 15 functionality allows the user to regress, recur 16 and/or rectify calculations from any previous point within the buffer. In this way, all new entries 17 from a regressed, recurred and/or rectified point 18 overwrite respective/consequent older entries within 19 the buffer, thus calculations are reciprocated 20 entirely, throughout and accordingly. 21 22 23 Also shown in Fig. 7 are a series of Lock keys (ALT Lock, CTRL Lock, SEQ Lock and DUAL Lock). Selection 24 25 of the ALT Lock and CTRL Lock keys by a user act as 26 if the conventional ALT and CTRL keys respectively are continually pressed. Similarly, the DUAL Lock 27 key acts as if the DUAL key shown in Figs. 2a-c is 28 continually pressed. The SEQ Lock key allows 29 sequential typing without the need to hold more than 30 31 one key down simultaneously. Fore example when SEO

PCT/GB2005/001111

71

PCT/GB2005/001111

Lock is activated simultaneous pressing of SHIFT and 1 2 5 is not necessary to obtain %. 3 The features of the present invention could equally 4 be incorporated into alternative keyboard styles, 5 for example, the MALTRON® and DVORAK keyboards 6 styles. The keyboard driver is provided with a 7 radio button(s) in order that a user may select 8 toggle between the QWERTY, DVORAK dual-handed, 9 DVORAK left-handed and MALTRON keyboard layouts. 10 Fig. 12 is a table illustrating the key-value 11 mappings between these various keyboard styles. 12 13 Modifications and improvements may be made without 14 departing from the scope of the present invention. 15 For example, the rows and/or columns of the array of 16 MCI keys may be slightly offset whilst retaining 17 18 their overall shape. 19 The MCI key indicia can be adapted to suit the 20 particular requirements of the application being 21 used (i.e. different languages, computer-programming 22 languages etc.). MCI keys can be arranged in 23 different ways and layouts to cater for a variety of 24 desktop needs, compactness, notebooks, portability 25 and programmability etc. See, for example, the 26 alternative layouts shown in Figs 12a-d. 27 28 In particular, Fig. 12c shows an alternative 29 keyboard in which the priority order of most 30 frequently occurring digraphs for English is [th er 31 on an re he in ed nd ha at en es of or] with 32

72

demotion of [he, nd, ha] due to close association 1 and occurrence with higher priority digraphs and 2 3 relative occurrence with most frequently occurring tri-graphs for English [the and tha ent ion tio for 4 nde has nce edt tis oft sth men], and exclusion of 5 [of, or] due to least priority, frequency occurrence 6 and limited real-estate on device. 7 8 Furthermore, the Trans- and Trans+ buttons included 9 in the keyboards of Figs. 2a and 2b have been 10 removed due to diversification of keyboard driver to 11 operate for, and on, all conventional keyboard 12 devices and software applications. 13 14 15 The MCI keys, as opposed to the conventional QWERTY keys have been re-sized in order to retain regular 16 OWERTY look-and-feel of keys, and also optimise size 17 accessibility and hit-ratio of the MDP-keys. 18 19 The Multi-Del and Multi-BSPC keys have been 20 relocated to above the substantially central array 21 of MCI-keys in order to streamline access and permit 22 easy dissection of the keyboard (in a similar way to 23 that shown in Fig. 2b) 24 25 Scientific calculator operators 1/x (reciprical of 26 x) and x^y (x to power of y) are included to the 27 right of the Function keys, while retaining internet 28 TLDs [.biz and .pro] lost from exclusion of [of, or] 29 MCI-keys. 30 31

73

1	The DUAL and DUAL SHIFT keys have been relocated
2	beside the SPACE bars in order to inert any effect
3	when accidentally hit relative to SPACE bar hits.
4	
5	The MCI-keys have been re-arranged relative to home
6	keys [F and J] where closest proximity is dependent
7	on priority order of most frequent occurrence of
8	digraph.